Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/016,223	CHANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AJAY BHATIA	2445		

	AJAY BHATIA	2445		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months</u> from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xpianation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:				
	/Larry D Donaghue/			
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2454		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant submits argument addressing the 112 rejection based upon the terminology of "not being in wired communication." Applicant asserts that the specification teach support for this terminology, examiner disagrees. The specification provides support for wireless communication but does not provide that the devices are "not being in wired communication." If applicant wishes to claim this feature they are able to claim the feature as disclosed in the specification, but not as currently written. The claim as currently written differs in scope, which is broader then what is supported by the specification.

Applicant goes on to contest the priority of the prior art by stating that the prior art does not have support for paragraph 102, in which the prior art disclosed choosing a device to connect to, but examiner disagree. In provisional application 60/243,654 filed on 10/26/00 the prior art teaches discovery of devices and a list of devices and providing this list to the Java application. But also inherent (see page 10) to the use of Bluetooth is the standard and its utilization by the prior art and inherit to the standard discover and utilization of the devices that are found. Therefore the examiner is not persuaded and the rejections are maintained.